EXAM ADVANCED LOGIC

June 16th, 2015

Instructions:

- Put your name and student number on the first page.
- Put your name on subsequent pages as well.
- Do not use pencil (or an erasable pen) or a red pen to make your exam.
- Motivate all your answers.
- If you want to inspect your exam after it has been graded, you can do so by making an appointment with Rineke Verbrugge.
- Please fill in the anonymous course evaluation.

Good luck!

1. Induction (10 pt) Let $\Pi(A)$ be the set of propositional atoms occurring in A. For example, $\Pi((p \equiv \neg q)) = \{p, q\}.$

Now consider the following sublanguage \mathscr{L}_E of the language of propositional logic.

- i Each propositional parameter p is a formula of \mathscr{L}_E .
- ii If A is a formula of \mathscr{L}_E , then so is $\neg A$.
- iii If A and B are formulas of \mathscr{L}_E such that $\Pi(A) \cap \Pi(B) = \emptyset$, then $(A \equiv B)$ is also a formula of \mathscr{L}_E .
- iv Nothing is a formula of \mathscr{L}_E unless it is generated by finitely many repeated applications of i, ii and iii.

Prove the following by induction:

For each formula A of \mathscr{L}_E , both A and $\neg A$ are satisfiable.

(Reminder: A formula A is satisfiable if and only if there exists a valuation $v: P \to \{0, 1\}$ such that v(A) = 1.)

2. Three-valued logics (10 pt) Using a truth table, determine whether the following inference holds in LP:

$$p \supset q, \neg p \supset q \models_{LP} q$$

Do not forget to draw a conclusion.

3. Tableaus for FDE and related many-valued logics (10 pt) By constructing a suitable tableau, determine whether the following inference is valid in \mathbf{K}_3 . If the inference is invalid, provide a counter-model.

$$\neg (p \land q) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q) \vdash_{K_3} \neg p$$

NB: Do not forget to draw a conclusion from the tableau.

4. Fuzzy logic (10 pt) Determine whether the following holds in the fuzzy logic with $D = \{x : x \ge 0.7\}$. If so, show that if the premises have value at least 0.7, so does the conclusion. If not, provide a counter-model.

$$p \to q, q \to r \models_{0.7} p \to r$$

5. Basic modal tableau (10 pt) By constructing a suitable tableau, determine whether the following is valid in K. If the inference is invalid, provide a counter-model.

$$\Box p \supset \Box (\Box p \land p) \vdash_K \Box p \supset \Box \Box p$$

NB: Do not forget to draw a conclusion from the tableau.

6. Normal modal tableau (10 pt) By constructing a suitable tableau, determine whether the following tense-logical inference is valid in $K_{\eta\delta}^t$. If the inference is invalid, provide a counter-model.

$$[F]p \vdash_{K^t_{-s}} \langle F \rangle \langle F \rangle p$$

NB: Do not forget to draw a conclusion from the tableau.

7. Soundness and completeness (10pt) The following question is about the completeness lemma for the normal modal logic $K_{\rho\tau}$.

Let b be a complete open branch of a $K_{\rho\tau}$ -tableau, and let $I = \langle W, R, v \rangle$ be the interpretation that is *induced* by b. Show that the accessibility relation R of I is reflexive and transitive.

8. First-order modal tableau, variable domain (10 pt) By constructing a suitable tableau, determine whether the following is valid in VK. If the inference is invalid, provide a countermodel.

$$\exists x P x \supset \exists x \Box P x \vdash_{VK} \exists x P x \supset \Box \exists x P x$$

NB: Do not forget to draw a conclusion from the tableau.

- 9. Default logic (10 pt) The following translation key is given:
 - G(x) x missed the first three lectures of Advanced Logic
 - B(x) x became a member of the board of Cover
 - A(x) x joined the excursion to New Zealand
 - d Douwe

Consider the following set of default rules:

$$D = \left\{ \delta_1 = \frac{B(x) : \neg A(x)}{\neg A(x)}, \qquad \delta_2 = \frac{A(x) : G(x)}{B(x)}, \qquad \delta_3 = \frac{G(x) : A(x)}{A(x)} \right\},$$

and initial set of facts:

$$W = \{B(d), G(d)\}.$$

This exercise is about the default theory T = (W, D); so you only need to apply the defaults to the relevant constant d.

- (a) Of each of the following sequences, state whether it is a *process*; and if so, whether or not the process is *closed*, and whether or not it is *successful*. Briefly explain your answers.
 - i. \emptyset (the empty sequence ())
 - ii. (δ_1)
 - iii. (δ_2)
 - iv. (δ_3)
 - v. (δ_3, δ_2)
 - vi. $(\delta_3, \delta_2, \delta_1)$
- (b) Draw the process tree of the default theory (W, D).
- (c) What are the extensions of (W, D)?